Appendix A

IN THE STATE COURT OF COBB COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

MAHABIRAND NANAN AND.
SHELLIELLE YONHOING,

Plaintiffs and Respondents-
In-Counterclaim

Civil Action File No.
04- A- 5606-5

Vs.

STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant, Counter-Claimant,
Third-Party plaintiff-in-In

Vs.

CARL BOSTIC, INC. d/b/a AFFORDABLE HOME IMPROVEMENT;

GOODMAM GABLE GOULD/ ADJUSTERS INTERNATIONAL AND REGIONS MORTGAGE, INC.

Third party Defendant in Interpleader.

ROLYN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION,

Intervenor.

ORDER

This case comes before the Court on Defendant State Farm Fire and Casualty Company's Second and Supplemental Motions for

Sanctions against Plaintiffs. The Court has reviewed the Motions and the Plaintiffs' Responses and rules as follows:

On July 18, 2005 both parties came before this Court for a hearing on, among other things, Defendant State Farm's Motion for Sanctions against Plaintiffs for their repeated failure to make themselves available for disposition and to make their home available for inspection by Defendant. Prior to this hearing the Court had ordered the Plaintiffs multiple times to make themselves available for depositions and to make their home available for inspection. Contrary to the Court's ruling Plaintiffs had not done so.

At the hearing of July 18, 2005 the Court informed Plaintiffs that it would give them one final chance to comply with the Court's order in this matter. As such, the Court ordered Plaintiffs to make their home available for inspection by Defendants on August 1, 2005 at 10:00 a.m. At the same hearing the Court ordered the Plaintiffs to make themselves available for a deposition to take place at the office of Defendant's counsel on August 5, 2005 beginning at 10:00 a.m. Plaintiffs indicated in open court that they understood the Court's order.

Subsequently, on July 27, 2005 Plaintiffs filed an untimely Objection to State Farm Insurance Inspection of Plaintiffs Home [sic]. Not only was the objection untimely in that it occurred after the July 18, hearing, it was also filed will after State Farm's original Notice of Inspection sent December 22, 2004.

Defendant State Farm presented evidence to the Court the that counsel for Defendant appeared at Plaintiff's home for the Court ordered inspection on August 1, 2005 at the scheduled time that morning and furthermore that Plaintiffs did not come to the door or answer Defendant's phone calls. Further, Defense counsel was prepared to depose Plaintiffs at his office at the Court ordered time on August 5, 2005 and Plaintiffs failed to be deposed.

Defendant subsequently filed this Motion for Sanctions against Plaintiffs and the Plaintiffs have responded. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's responses and fins Plaintiffs have presented no basis or justification for ignoring the court's order on these matters. The Plaintiffs have repeatedly abused the discovery process and willfully refused to respond to discovery requests and this Court's orders to comply. The Plaintiffs were warned by the court at the July 18, 2005 hearing that the failure to comply with the Court's orders could result in sanctions. Therefore, pursuant to O.C.G.A § 9-11-37, the Court has no choice but to grant Defendant's Motion for Sanctions. Plaintiffs claim against State Farm Fire and Casualty Company is hereby DISMISSED with prejudice.

SO ORDERED this 25 day of August 2005.

s/ David P. Darden Judge

STATE COURT OF COBB COUNTY

Appendix B

IN THE COURT OF COBB COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

MAHABIR NANAN and

SHELLIELLE NANAN

Plaintiffs and Respondents –

In Counterclaim

Vs.

CIVIL ACTION
FILE No. 04-A-5606-5

STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant, Counterclaim,
Third-Party Plaintiff-in-Interpleader,

GOODMAN, GABLE GOULD/ ADJUSTERS INTERNATIONAL; and

Third party Defendants –in- Interpleader
Rolyn Construction Corporation

Intervener

ORDER

Plaintiffs have filed a motion for "disqualification or recusal of assigned judge." Plaintiffs contend that the court's earlier order compelling Plaintiffs to respond to discovery was "biased and

prejudicial" because State Farm's Motion to Compel contained "hearsay and circumstantial" allegations.

Plaintiffs' motion further sets forth a claim that the Court mistreated her during the July hearing by instructing her not to interrupt the court or opposing counsel during the hearing. Plaintiffs allege the Court "exploded" and refused to read Plaintiffs numerous briefs and filings presented before or at the hearing.

Plaintiffs' contentions are however conclusory and do not show any basis for recusal. Ms. Nanan repeatedly interrupted the Court and was instructed not to interrupt the Court. Nonetheless, Ms. Nanan was given the opportunity to present her argument to the Court in a proper manner.

Thus the Court undertakes the three-step process when presented with Ms. Nanan's recusal motion. The motion appears to have been timely filed with five (5) days of the hearing date.

The affidavit contains broadly worded assertions which do not support further inquiry. Ms. Nanan essentially claims that the Court's recusal is warranted for two reasons. First, recusal should occur should occur because the Court accepted State Farm's argument on discovery matters. The adverse ruling showed, Nanan argues, bias and prejudice warranting recusal. She does not support the allegation of bias and prejudice beyond and adverse ruling. Any disappointed litigant could make the same argument.

The sufficiency of Nanan's assertion does not, on such grounds, meet the proper standard. In fact the Courts order, about which Nanan complaints, merely directed the Nanas to comply with discovery requests and did not, at such time, award any sanctions. In addition, an objective reasonable person would not find sufficient judicial bias merely because the Court prevented Ms. Nanan from interrupting and speaking out during rulings. Likewise, the adverse ruling had foundation in the record. Nanan's remedy, in light of an unfavorable ruling, is appeal and not a recusal motion. **See, Baptiste v. State,** 229 Ga .App. 691 (1997).

Because Nanan has failed to meet the threshold requirement for sustaining the motion to recuse, the motion is DENIED

SO ORDERED, this 30 day of August, 2005

s/ David P. Darden judge

State Court of Cobb County

Appendix C

Submitted: Jul. 18, 2005

Honorable Judge Darden:

Today, July 18, 2005, I sat inside your court room (4A) as per request of Plaintiffs – Mr. Mrs. Nanan – in support of their case against State Farm Insurance Company. Sir, I testify unreservedly and without any biases or sophisticated appreciation of the law as Your Honor's scholarly and familiarity. I am writing to you as a voluntary spectator, without any knowledge by the Nanan's, as I am compelled by my conscience in all that I have observed to present. As an undergraduate reading for my Bachelor of Business Administration in International Business and having logged numerous case studies on several major corporations and their interaction with their stakeholders, I am both fascinated and dismayed based on my observation of all that have been a witness to.

The laws of the land, Sir, to my limited understanding were annotated in an attempted to bestow relative justice for all through arbitrary involvement, i.e. interpretation of the law with the fact(s) presented. Persons unable to resolve a situation amicably would seek litigation in their pursuit of justice on an equal plane. With this said, base on my witness of your court room proceedings and of the cases you have presided over, before the Nanan's case, I thought Judge your conduct was indeed fair at least with the officer's of the court. But at about 1:15 p.m. when it came to the Nanan's case, the

last scheduled case of your Motion's calendar, your conduct varied significantly to the point where Judge, you've exploded upon Mrs. Nanan based on the recommendations of counsel for the Defendant. Honorable Judge, to be reasonable, your tolerance for the defense counsel's arguments and unequivocal rejection of Plaintiff's perspective would make one party's allegations seem ultimate fact and highlights explicitly the scale of Justice, in my opinion, skewed.

There is a maze of conspiracy which in my estimation was blueprinted to deprive the Nanan family of compensation for which they were contractually entitled, resulting from their tragedy. An essential component of the Nanan rights to an effective defense is to face their challenger and any party unwilling to defend their contention in person, I think should be repealed especially if such occurrence is repeated. Your Honor, the Defendants wield a reputation so awful that most respectful attorneys are understandably reluctant to challenge. Honorable Judge Darden, the result of this case, in its contended simplicity, is wholly based upon your impartiality and review of all perspectives. It is not an essay undertaking but the only reasonable solution.

I thank you for the time you have taken from your most important schedule.

Respectfully,
s/ Shelloiy Forrester

Appendix D

COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

ATLANTA, AUGUST 16, 2006

The Court of Appeals hereby passes the following order

A06A0916. NANAN ET AL. v. STATE FARM FIRE AND CAS.CO.

Mahabirand and Shellielle Yonhoing filed the instant appeal pro se seeking review of a trial court order that dismissed with prejudice their suite against State Farm as a discovery sanction. Appellants requested that a copy of the transcript from the relevant July 18, 2005 hearing on the motion to compel be included in the appellate record. Because the transcript of the motions hearing was not included in the appellate record and because it appears the transcript is not in the custody of the clerk below, the case is according REMANDED to the Superior Court of Fulton County so that a complete record may be prepared and transmitted to this Court in accordance with OCGA § 5-6-43. The appellants are not required to file another notice of appeal. Finally, all pending motions are hereby DENIED.

Lc number: 04A56065

Court of Appeals of the State of Georgia
Clerk's Office, Atlanta Aug 16 2006
I certify that the above is a true extract from
the minutes of the Court of Appeals of Georgia

Witness my signature and the seal of said court
hereto affixed the day and year last written above.

s/ William Martin III, Clerk

Appendix D Continued

COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

ATLANTA, AUGUST 21. 2006

The Court of Appeals hereby passes the following order:

A06A0916. NANAN ET AL v. STATE FARM FIRE AND CAS. CO.

It appearing the order of this Court issued August 16, 2006, is incorrect due to clerical error, it is hereby ordered that the order attached hereto be substituted for the original order.

Court of Appeal of the State of Georgia
Clerk Office, Atlanta Aug 21 2006
I certify the above is a true extract from
the minutes of the Court of Appeals of Georgia.

Witness my signature and the seal of said Court
hereto affixed the day and year last written.

Clerk

s/ William Martin III

Appendix D Continued

COURT OF APPEALS
OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

ATLANTA, AUGUST 16, 2006

The Court of Appeals hereby passes the following order:

A06A0916. NANAN ET AL. v. STATE FARM FIRE AND CAS CO.

Mahabir and Shellielle Yonhoing filed the instant appeal pro se seeking review of a trial court order that dismissed with prejudice their suit against State Farm as a discovery sanction. Appellants requested that a copy of the transcript from the relevant July 18, 2005 hearing on the motion to compel be included in the appellate record. Because the transcript pf the motion hearing was not included in the appellate record and because it appears the transcript is not in the custody of the clerk below, the case is accordingly be REMANDED to the State Court of Cobb County so that a complete record may be prepared and transmitted to this court in accordance with O.C.G.A. § 5-6-43. The case will be re-docketed in this court at that time, but with a different case number. The appellants are not

required to file another notice of appeal. Finally all pending motions are hereby DENIED.

Court of Appeals of the State of Georgia
Clerk office Atlanta Aug 16 2006
I certify that the above is a true extract from
the minutes of the Court of Appeals of Georgia

Witness my signature and the seal of the said Court
Hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

s/ William Martin III Clerk.

Appendix E

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

CLERK'S OFFICE

ATLANTA

Shellielle Nanan
445 Staten Street
Jonesboro, GA30238

Case No. S07C0053

Mahabir Nanan et al. V.
State Farm fire and Casualty Company

Court of Appeals Case No. A06A0916

The Supreme Court today denied the petition for certiorari in this case. All the Justices concur.

s/ Therese S. Barnes, Clerk

APPENDIX F

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA

CLERKS OFFICE

ATLANTA

Case No. SO7COO53

The honorable Supreme Court met Pursuant to adjournment.

The following was passed:

MAHABIR NANAN V. et al. v.

State Farm Fire AND CASUALTY COMP.

Upon consideration of the Motion for Reconsideration filed in this case, it is ordered that it be hereby denied.

SUPTEME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I hereby certify that the above is a true extract from
The minutes of the Supreme Court of Georgia.
Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto
Affixed the day and year last above written.

S / Therese S. Barnes, Clerk

APPENDIX G

COURT OF APPEALS OF
THE STATE OF GEORGIA

ATLANTA, OCTOBER 20 2006

The Court of Appeals hereby passes the following order:

Court of Appeals Case NO. A07A0119
MAHABIR NANAN ET AL V. STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY

From the State Court of Cobb County.

On motion it is ordered that counsel for appellant be allowed to withdraw the appeal in the case.

LC NUMBER: 04A56065

Bill of Cost, $80.00

Court of Appeals of the State of Georgia
Clerk's Office, Atlanta Oct 20 2006

I certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Court of Appeals of Georgia.

Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written

Clerk.

s/ William Martin III

APPENDIX H

COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

ATLANTA, NOV 03 2006

The Court of Appeals hereby passes the following order:

A07A0119. MAHABIR NANAN, ET AL. v. STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY

The Motion for Reconsideration filed in the above matter is hereby dismissed as MOOT.

Court of Appeals of the State of Georgia

Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Court of Appeals of Georgia.

Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

Clerk.

s/ William Martin III

APPENDIX I

1ST AMMENDMENT TO THE UNITED CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceable to assemble, and petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

14 AMMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

SECTION 1.

All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the state wherein they reside. No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States, nor shall any state deprive any person of liberty, life or property, within due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the law."

APPENDIX J

THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

ARTICLES 1, 2, 6,7,19.

ARTICLE 1.

All human being are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are empowered with reason and conscience and shall act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.

ARTICLE 2.

Everyone is entitled to all rights and freedoms set forth in this declaration, with ought distinction of any kind, such as race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth, or other status.

ARTICLE. 6.

Everyone has the right recognized everywhere as a person before the law.

ARTICLE.7.

All are equal before the law and are entitled with ought any discrimination to equal protection of the law.

ARTICLE.19.

Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expressions.

APPENDIX K

CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT

SUBSECTION 7.

Judges shall accord to every person who has a legal interest in a proceeding, the rights to be heard according to the law.

APPENDIX L

DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW

Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242.

"This statue makes it a crime for any person acting under color of law, statue, ordinance, regulation, to willfully deprive or cause to be deprive from any person those rights, privileges, and immunities secured or protected by the constitution and laws of the United States."

APPENDIX M

CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS.

Title 18, U.S.C., Section 241

"These statues make it un-lawful for two or more persons to conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, intimidate any person of any state, territory, or district in the free exercise of enjoyment of or privilege secured to him/ her by the constitution of the laws of the United States.

APPENDIX N

IN THE STATE COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF COBB
STATE OF GEORGIA

MAHABIR AND SHELLIELLE NANAN,
Plaintiffs and Defendants in Counter claim,

VS.
STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY,

CERTIFIED
- COMPRESSED-
COPY

Defendant and Plaintiff in Counterclaim
and third – Party Plaintiff- In- Interpleader,

CIVIL ACTION

FILE NO. 04-A- 5606-5
VS.
CARL BOSTIC d/b//a Affordable HOME IMPROVEMENT, GOODMAN–GABLE- GOULD/ ADJUSTERS INTERNATIONAL, AND REGIONS MORTGAGE, INC.,
Third – Party Defendants- In- Interpleader.

DEPOSITION OF
SHELLIELLE NANAN

August 5, 2005
1: 50 p.m.

Swift, Currie, McGhee & Hiers
1355 Peachtree Street, N.E
Suite 300
Atlanta, Georgia

Kimberley Stewart, RPR, CCR- B
TIFFANY ATA ALLEY
Certify reporters
400 Perimeter Center Terrace, Suite 900 Atlanta, Georgia
770- 343- 9696 800 808- 4958 770 343 8430 fax
www.tiffanyalley.com.

APPENDIX O

Title 18 > Part 1> Chapter 79§ 1621

Perjury in General

Whoever,

(1) Having taken an oath before a competent, officer, or person, in any case in which a law of the United States authorizes an oath to be administered, that he will testify, declare, depose, certify truly, or that any written testimony, declaration, or certify by him subscribed, is true, willfully and contrary to such oath states or subscribes any material which he does not believe to be true, or

(2) In any declaration, certificate, verification, or statement under penalty of perjury as permitted under section 1746 or title 28, United States Code, willfully subscribes as to any material matter which he does not believe to be true;

Is guilty of perjury, and shall except as otherwise expressively provided by law, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. This section is applicable whether the statement or subscription is made within or without the United States.